	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/757,490	MORAVEC ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	MANAV SETH	2624	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _Allowed_

  (1) _MANAV SETH_.   (3) _____.

  (2) _Jeffrey Zahn_.   (4) _____.

Date of Interview: _2 March 2009_   **Time:** _10:15am_

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
  If Yes, provide a brief description: ___ .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
15 AND 16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with applicant's attorney/agent of
record, Mr. Jeffrey Zahn, Registration No. 54,864, on 03/02/2009, Examiner's amendment:

In The Claims
(a).   The following changes to the claims have been approved by the examiner and agreed upon by applicant:

(i) Claim 15 as presented depends on the cancelled claim 14.
Examiner's amendment: Change the claim 15 dependency to claim 1 such that claim 15 depends on claim 1.

(ii) Claim 16 as presented depends on the cancelled claim 14.
Examiner's amendment: Change the claim 16 dependency to claim 1 such that claim 16 depends on claim 1..